TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO)
SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE   By Julian-forbes laird.

Date: 8/6/2022   Surveyor: MARIA MUSHENS + CS.

Tree details
TPO Ref: Tree/~~Group~~ No: Species: Lime
Owner (if known):
Location: 101 Pennine Rd.

a) Condition & suitability for TPO:
Refer to Guidance Note for definitions

5) Good   Highly suitable
(3) Fair   Suitable
1) Poor   Unlikely to be suitable
0) Dead/dying/dangerous*   Unsuitable

Score & Notes
3

* relates to existing context & is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

b) Remaining longevity (in years) & suitability for TPO:

5) 100+   Highly suitable
4) 40-100   Very suitable
2) 20-40   Suitable
1) 10-20   Just suitable
0) <10*   Unsuitable

Score & Notes
5

* includes trees which are existing or near future nuisance, including those <u>clearly</u> outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO:
Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees   Highly suitable
4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public   Suitable
3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only   Suitable
2) Small trees, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty   Barely suitable
1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size   Probably unsuitable

Score & Notes
5 — recently pollarded. Largest tree on Road. Also seen from Pendle Close and from houses to the rear. —| Chiltern green

d) Other factors
Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees
4) Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion
3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance
2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual
1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (incl. those of indifferent form)

Score & Notes
13 + 1

Part 2: Expediency assessment
Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify
5) Immediate threat to tree
3) Foreseeable threat to tree
2) Perceived threat to tree
1) Precautionary only

Score & Notes
2

Part 3: Decision guide
Any 0   Do not apply TPO
1-6   TPO indefensible
7-11   Does not merit TPO
12-15   TPO defensible
16+   Definitely merits TPO

Add Scores for Total:
16

Decision:
Yes

Pollarded under doc consent. Then redone below previous cuts without consent. Not best practice. Therefore perceived threat.